Message Text

PAGE 01 NATO 04020 01 OF 02 311348Z

63

ACTION EUR-12

INFO OCT-01 ISO-00 CIAE-00 PM-03 INR-07 L-03 ACDA-05

NSAE-00 PA-01 SS-15 PRS-01 SP-02 USIA-06 TRSE-00

SAJ-01 NSC-05 MC-02 OMB-01 /065 W ----- 019329

P R 311305Z JUL 75
FM USMISSION NATO
TO SECSTATE WASHDC PRIORITY 2962
SECDEF WASHDC PRIORITY
INFO USNMR SHAPE
USCINCEUR
USLOSACLANT
CINCLANT

SECRET SECTION 1 OF 2 USNATO 4020

E.O. 11652: GDS TAGS: MPOL, NATO

SUBJ: SACEUR'S REVISED TERMS OF REFERENCE

REF: A. USNATO 3840; B. USNATO 3839; C. JCS 241344Z APR 75 (NOTAL) D. USNATO 5899 OCT 23, 1974; E. STATE 205986 SEPT 18, 1974

SUMMARY. WE BELIEVE THAT SUBSTANTIVE ISSUES ARE INVOLVED IN THE PROPOSED MILITARY COMMITTEE CHANGES TO SACEUR'S AUTHORITY. TO ASSIST WASHINGTON'S EVALUATION OF THE ISSUES RAISED BY ASYG HUMPHREYS CONCERNING SACEUR'S REVISED TERMS OF REFERENCE (TOR), THIS MESSAGE PROVIDES ADDITIONAL INFORMATION, AND OUR RECOMMENDATIONS ON THE PROPOSED MILITARY COMMITTEE CHANGES TO SACEUR'S TOR (REFS A AND B). END SUMMARY.

1. THE REVISED CATEGORIES OF NATO FORCES (NATO COMMAND FORCES, NATO ASSIGNED FORCES, NATO EARMARKED FORCES, AND OTHER FORCES) REPRESENTS A SIGNIFICANT IMPROVEMENT OVER THE CURRENT DEFINITIONS. HOWEVER, THE IMPROVEMENTS OF THE NEW DEFINITIONS OF NATO FORCES ARE NEGATED WHEN EVALUATED WITH THE PROPOSED CHANGES TO SACEUR'S TOR. IN OTHER WORDS, AFTER SECRET

PAGE 02 NATO 04020 01 OF 02 311348Z

FOUR YEARS OF DIFFICULT NEGOTIATIONS ON REVISING THESE DOCUMENTS, WE HAVE TAKEN ONE STEP FORWARD AND ONE STEP BACKWARD.

2. THE REQUIRMENT FOR SACEUR TO CONSULT WITH NATIONS PRIOR

TO CONDUCTING FIELD/TRAINING INSPECTIONS OF NATO ASSIGNED AND EARMARKED FORCES WAS INCLUDED IN SACEUR'S REVISED TOR AT THE INSISTENCE OF THE NORWEGIAN, ITALIAN AND TURKISH MC REPS, WHEREAS THE USREPMC PROPOSAL WAS TO ESTABLISH A REQUIREMENT FOR SACEUR TO CONSULT WITH US AUTHORITIES PRIOR TO CONDUCTING FIELD/TRAINING INSPECTIONS ON OTHER ADDITIONAL FORCES. USREPMC PROPOSED THIS CHANGE TO REMOVE U.S. ROTATIONAL AND DUALBASED FORCES FROM SACEUR'S NO-NOTICE INSPECTION AUTHORITY (REF C). THE IMPORTANT DISTINCTION HERE IS THAT THE NORWEGINA, TURKISH, AND ITALIAN MC REPS PROPOSED RESTRICTIONS ON SACEUR'S AUTHORITY TO CONDUCT FIELD/TRAINING INSPECTIONS ON NATO ASSIGNED AND EARMARKED FORCES WHEREAS THE US PROPOSAL WAS AIMED AT ONLY THOSE ADDITIONAL OTHER FORCES.

3. IN A STATEMENT FOR THE RECORD AT THE MAY 21, 1975 MC MEET-UNG (MC-15-75, JUNE 2, 1975) SAECUR HIGHLIGHTED THE MILITARY IMPLICATIONS OF THESE PROPOSED CHANGES TO HIS AUTHROITY BY EMPHASIZING THAT THE APPLICATION TO ASSIGNED AND EARMARKED FORCES OF THE PHRASE "IN CONSULTATION WITH THE NATIONS CONCERNED" WOULD REPRESENT A SUBSTANIIAL CHANGE FROM PREVIOUS AGREEMENTS ON SACEUR'S AUTHORITY IN THE AREA OF INSPECTIONS, AND WOULD DIMINISH THE VALUE OF SACEUR'S COMBAT EFFECTIVENESS REPORT. FROM A POLITICAL POINT OF VIEW, WE BELIEVE THAT IT IS NOT APPROPRIAE AT THIS TIME TO WEAKEN THE AUTHORITY INVESTED IN SACEUR, NOR DO WE CONSIDER IT JUSTIFIABLE TO ESTABLISH A PRECEDENT FOR ALTERING THE PARIS AGREEMENTS OTHER THAN TO THE EXTENT NECESSARY TO TAKE COGNIZANCE OF DE FACTO CHANGES.

4. FOR THE US TO SUPPORT ANY ACTION AIMED AT WEAKENING
SACEUR'S AUTHORITY WOULD BE INCONSISTENT WITH US STATEMENTS
AT RECENT DPC MEETINGS. FOR EXAMPLE, ACTING ON WASHINGTON
INSTRUCTIONS (REFS D AND E) THEN CHARGE MCAULIFFE MADE THE STTEMENT AT THE OCTOBER 23, 1974 DPC MEETING THAT THE "US WHOLEHEARTEDLY SUPPORTS CONCERNS OF GENERAL GOODPASTER AND AMBASSADOR
DE STAERCKE ABOUT TRANSFER OF NATO-COMMITTED FORCES FROM
ASSIGNED TO EARMARKED STATUS, PARTICULARLY TO EXTENT THIS
TRANSFER HAMPERS SACEUR'S PEACETIME ABILITY TO TRAIN AND
SECRET

PAGE 03 NATO 04020 01 OF 02 311348Z

PREPARE FORCES HE WOULD CONTROL IN WAR. THE US IS PREPARED TO GRANT SACEUR ADDITIONAL AUTHORITY IN THIS AREA OVER US FORCES IN EUROPE PROVIDED ALLIES DO LIKEWISE." MOREOVER, WE BELIEVE THAT SACEUR HAS INHERENTLY WEAKER THAN DESIRABLE PEACETIME INFLUENCE OVER THE NATO FORCES HE WOULD COMMAND IN WARTIME, AND THAT USG SHOULD EXHIBIT A NORMAL BIAS TOWARD SUPPORTING OR ENHANCING HIS PEACETIME INFLUENCE.

5. WE ALSO BELIEVE THAT THE US SHOULD OPPOSE THE DEROGATION OF SACEUR'S AUTHORITY. TO THIS END, WE HAVE DEVELOPEFY OPTIONS WHICH WE R ECOMMEND PURSUING IN THE FOLLOWING ORDER OF

PREFERENCE DURING THE FORTHCOMING DPC DISCUSSIONS ON SACEUR'S REVISED TOR.

A. REPLACE THE PHRASE "IN CONSULTATION WITH NATIONS CONCERNED" IN PARA 13 (MC 53"1) WITH "IN ACCORDANCE WITH CURRENT NATO PROCEDURES AND AS PRESCRIBED IN SPECIAL AGREEMENTS". SACEUR PROPOSED THIS MODIFICATION AT THE MAY 21, 1975 MC MEETING (MC-15-75) TO COVER NATIONAL AS WELL AS SHAPE'S INTEREST IN THIS FIELD AS IT WOULD APPLY TO ALLLTHREE CATEGORIES OF FORCES. WE BELIEVE THAT THE US REQUIREMENTS TO REMOVE ROTATIONAL AND DUAL-BASED FORCES FROM SACEUR'S NONOTICE INSPECTION AUTHORITY COULD BE MET BY "SPECIAL AGREEMENTS".

SECRET

PAGE 01 NATO 04020 02 OF 02 010552Z

21

ACTION EUR-12

INFO OCT-01 ISO-00 CIAE-00 PM-03 INR-07 L-03 ACDA-05

NSAE-00 PA-01 SS-15 PRS-01 SP-02 USIA-06 TRSE-00

SAJ-01 NSC-05 MC-02 OMB-01 /065 W

P R 311305Z JUL 75 FM USMISSION NATO TO SECSTATE WASHDC PRIORITY 2963 SECDEF WASHDC PRIORITY INFO USNMR SHAPE USCINCEUR USLOSACLANT CINCLANT

S E C R E T SECTION 2 OF 2 USNATO 4020

B. CHANGE PARA 13 TO READ:

"AUTHORITY TO MAKE FIELD INSPECTIONS IN ACCORDANCE WITH NATO CURRENT PROCEDURES AND AS PRESCRIBED IN SPECIAL AGREEMENTS, WITHIN THE AREA OF ACE OF NATO COMMAND FORCES AND OF NATO ASSIGNED AND NATO EARMARKED FORCES, AND IN CONSULTATION WITH THE NATIONS CONCERNED, SUCH OTHER FORCES AND LOGISTIC SUPPORT SYSTEMS AS MAY BE MADE AVAILABLE TO HIM.

"IN THE OPERATIONAL TRAINING OF ALL NATO FORCES UNDER COMMAND OF ACE, THE DIRECT CONTROL OF THAT PORTION OF SUCH TRAINING DESIGNED TO DEVELOP AN EFFICIENT INTEGRATED NATO FORCE. FURTHERMOE, HE SHOULD BE GIVEN FACILITIES TO INSPECT THE TRAINING OF ASSIGNED FORCES AND OF THOSE CADRE AND IN CONSULTATION WITH NATIONS OTHER FORCES WITHIN THE AREA OF ACE

WHICH ARE EARMARKED FOR ASSIGNMENT TO ACE."

C. APPROVE SACEUR'S REVISED TOR WITH THE PROVISO "THAT IN THE EVENT OF QUESTIONS REGARDING THE INTERPRETATION OF SACEUR'S TOR, THE AGREEMENTS REACHED AT THE LONDON CONFERENCE (C-M(58)85(FINAL)) MUST PREVAIL". THIS IS SIMILAR TO THE SECRET

PAGE 02 NATO 04020 02 OF 02 010552Z

POSITION TAKEN BY THE DPC IN APPROVING THE OVERALL STRATEGIC CONCEPT FOR THE DEFENSE OF THE NATO AREA (MC 14/3).

6. BASED ON INFORMAL CONTACTS WITH OTHER DELEGATIONS AND INTERNATIONAL STAFF ON THIS ISSUE, WE BELIEVE THAT THE UK AND BELGIUM WILL PROBABLY REQUEST THAT THE PHRASE "IN CONSULTATION WITH NATIONS CONCERNED" BE EITHER REMOVED FROM PARA 13 OF MC 53"1 OR MODIFIED ALONG THE LINES OUTLINED IN PARA 5 ABOVE. WE RECOMMEND THAT WASHINGTON APPROVE US INTERVENTION IN THE DPC AS OUTLINED IN PARA 5 ABOVE. ACTION REQUESTED: WASHINGTON GUIDANCE BY AUGUST 25.BRUCE

SECRET

<< END OF DOCUMENT >>

Message Attributes

Automatic Decaptioning: X Capture Date: 18 AUG 1999 Channel Indicators: n/a

Current Classification: UNCLASSIFIED

Concepts: n/a Control Number: n/a Copy: SINGLE Draft Date: 31 JUL 1975 Decaption Date: 01 JAN 1960 Decaption Note: Disposition Action: RELEASED Disposition Action: RELEASED
Disposition Approved on Date:
Disposition Authority: CunninFX
Disposition Case Number: n/a
Disposition Comment: 25 YEAR REVIEW
Disposition Date: 28 MAY 2004
Disposition Event:
Disposition History: n/a
Disposition Reason:
Disposition Remarks:
Document Number: 1975NATO04020

Document Number: 1975NATO04020 Document Source: ADS Document Unique ID: 00 Drafter: n/a

Enclosure: n/a Executive Order: 11652 GDS

Errors: n/a Film Number: n/a From: NATO

Handling Restrictions: n/a

Image Path:

Legacy Key: link1975/newtext/t197507102/abbrzlgz.tel Line Count: 186 Locator: TEXT ON-LINE

Office: n/a

Original Classification: SECRET Original Handling Restrictions: n/a Original Previous Classification: n/a Original Previous Handling Restrictions: n/a

Page Count: 4

Previous Channel Indicators:
Previous Classification: SECRET

Previous Handling Restrictions: n/a Reference: A. USNATO 3840; B. USNATO 3839; C. JCS 241344Z APR 75 (NOTAL) D. USNATO 5899 OCT 23, 1974; E. STATE 205986 SEPT

18, 1974
Review Action: RELEASED, APPROVED

Review Authority: CunninFX Review Comment: n/a Review Content Flags: Review Date: 30 APR 2003 **Review Event:** Review Exemptions: n/a

Review History: RELEASED <30 APR 2003 by MartinML>; APPROVED <06 OCT 2003 by CunninFX>

Review Markings:

Margaret P. Grafeld Declassified/Released US Department of State EO Systematic Review 06 JUL 2006

Review Media Identifier: Review Referrals: n/a Review Release Date: n/a Review Release Event: n/a **Review Transfer Date:** Review Withdrawn Fields: n/a Secure: OPEN

Status: NATIVE

Subject: SACEUR'S REVISED TERMS OF REFERENCE

TAGS: MPOL, NATO To: STATE

SECDEF INFO USNMR SHAPE

USCINCEUR USLOSACLANT **CINCLANT**

Type: TE Markings: Margaret P. Grafeld Declassified/Released US Department of State EO Systematic Review 06 JUL 2006